Attornoy's Dockst: 2002DE44 0erial No.: 19/735.49 Art Unit 171 prse to Office Action. Dated 11/15/200

Remarks

The Office Action malled November 15, 2007 has been carefully considered together with each of the references cited therein. The amendments and remarks presented herein are believed to be fully responsive to the Office Action. Accordingly, reconsideration of the present Application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Applicant has amended the claims to more clearly recite what Applicant believes to be the invention. Claims 1 and 19 were amended to replace the term "middle distillate". Support for this amendment may be found in originally filed claims 1 and 19 and in paragraph [0002] of Applicant's Specification. Claim 18 was canceled. Claims 9-13 were amended to be consistent with amended claim 1 in the recitation of the term "fuel oil", and claims 27-30 were amended to properly recite the term "fuel oil", and claims 27-30 were amended to be consistent with amended claim 19 and properly recite the term —mineral oil middle distillate—. Support for the amendments to claims 1, 19, 9-13, 14-17 and 27-30 may be found in Applicant's originally filed claims and in paragraph [0002] of Applicant's Specification. Support for new claims 31-34 may be found in originally filed claims 1 and 19 and in paragraph [00032] of Applicant's Specification. It is believed that no new matter has been introduced by these amendments.

Applicant's invention is directed to providing additives and methods for improving the cold flow properties of middle distillates of mineral oil origin and mixtures of mineral oil middle distillates and mixtures of fatty acid alkyl esters, such fuel oil mixtures known as biofuels, which have a low sulfur content and a low aromatics content, when the blending of the additive and the oil take place at low blending temperatures. Applicant surprisingly discovered that the additives which achieved the objectives of the invention comprised a copolymer of ethylene, actually a terpolymer, and comonomers of formula (b) taving tertiary-branched vinyl esters, and comonomers of formula (c) such as vinyl acetate with the specified contents of comonomers (b) and (c). Tables 3 – 6 of Applicant's Specification show the effectiveness of the additives of the present invention in terms of improved

Response to Office Action, Dated 11/15/200

comonomer b) with a tertiary branched radical falled to achieve this effectiveness. In daimed showed significantly lower filterability times than the comparative examples 12-16 in Test Oil 1. in Table 5, when the comonomer included the tertiary-branched showed significantly lower values, for example at 1000 ppm, than the same dose in Table 3, when Applicant's comonomer b) included a tertiary-branched radical, the adical as in the compounds P1 through P10, the cold filter plugging point, CFPP, (Tables 4-6) in mineral oil Test Olls 1, 2 and 3. Additives not having Applicant's ifterability (Table 3) and improved Cold Filter Plugging Point (CFPP) response ifferability results shown for the additives P1 through P10 of the invention as Test Oil 2 for the comparative compounds of P13-P16 or P11 wherein these compounds did not have a comonomer b) with a tertiary-branched radical.

Claims 1-14, 16-17, and 19-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US Patent No. 5,906,663) In view of Murakami (US Patent from Applicant's invention, or is at best silent on any fuel oil which has the limitations to Column 4, line 9) and a B) component (See column 4, lines 10-28) as recited in being unpatentable over Brown (US Patent No. 5,906,663) in view of Murakami (US Brown's claim 1. Brown discloses a way of meeting the problem of CFPP regression the low sulfur, low aromatic fuel oils as claimed by the Applicant. Brown differs from Patent No. 5,730,762) should be withdrawn for the reason that Brown teaches away Applicant's claimed invention in that there is no teaching of the fuel sulfur content of Murakami reference with the Brown patent to arrive at Applicant's invention, and for comprising components (A) and (B). Applicant's Invention relates to a copolymer or at most 350 ppm, an aromatics content of at most 22%, a density of less than 0.84. additive having Brown's required component B consistently fails filterability tests in a 90-20% boiling range of less than 110°C, a paraffin content of more than 3%-by Jeterioration in CFPP performance over time, by the use of a specific co-additive No. 5,730,762). The rejection of daim 1 as amended under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as the reason that Applicant unexpectedly discovered that the presence of Brown's of Applicant's fuel oil, and no one skilled in the art would be able to combine the requiring an additive which consists of both an A) component (Column 2, line weight. The disclosure of Brown differs further from Applicant's invention by

he subject application.

Attomay's Dockot: _2002DE444 Gerla Not. _10/735.439 Gerla Not. _10/735.439 Response to Office Action, Dated 11/15/2007

skilled in the art would be able to arrive at Applicant's invention for improving mineral additive mixtures of Brown (US Patent No. 5,906,663), herein after referred to as the unexpected advantages of the present invention over the Brown reference in a sidefilterability tests in a low sulfur, low aromatics fuel oil (Test oil 5) were prepared. The characterization of the test fuel oil was made according to the methods described in oil middle distillate fuel oil or a biofuel oil without undo experimentation. Attached to copolymer can be employed at cold blending conditions and surprisingly leaves the biofuels are middle distillate fuel oil mixtures having mineral oil middle distillate and Brown Patent, with terpolymers according to the invention, the following CFPP and filterability of Applicant's specific low sulfur mineral oil middle distillate, or a biofuel Plugging Point of the mineral oil middle distillate or biofuel oil mixture. Omission of without Brown's co-additive component B. As discussed hereinabove, Applicant's oil, which is a combination of the mineral oil middle distillate and a mixture of fatty acid alkyl esters, unchariged, and provides further improvement of the Cold Filter this paper is a Declaration under 1.132, filed by Matthias Krull, one of the named an element with refention of its function is an indicia of unobviousness. No one terpolymer comprising a subset of Brown's broad definition of component A, but by-side analysis for both mineral oil middle distillate and biofuel oils wherein the rom 5 to 25 % wt of a mixture of fatty acid alkyl esters. For comparison of the nventors. In the Declaration, Dr. Krull presents data which clearly shows the

Test oil 5		176	235	328	348	6'6-	-11	3,7 %	0.834	G
		[-0]	[0]	្រ	ົວ	[5]		w CP (DSC)	[6/cm*]	[mdd]
	Distillation	HBP	20 %	% 06	F8P	Cloud Point	CFPP ['C]	Paraffin 10°C below CP (DSC)	Densty@15°C	Sulfur content

=

PAGE 12/24 * RCVD AT 2/12/2008 10:25:20 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/44 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:704 331 7707 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-58

Attorney's Docket __2002DE444 Oerial No: __10/73549 Art Unit __1774 Response to Office Action, Dated 11/15/2001

17,6	16,7	6'0	<0,1
[% by weight]	[% by weight]	[% by weight]	[% by weight]
Aromatic content	of which mono	₩	poly

naterial to component B of the Brown Patent was used, which was an ethylene-vinyl product was already ofted as Comparative Example P14 in the subject application. It was shown that this additive has only borderline solubility in fuels with low sulfur and east 2 molar per cent lower than that of the esters in "A" is required. For comparison acetate polymer with a number average molecular weight of 5200 (GPC) and a vinyl component B which is an ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer with an ester content at combination as exemplified in the Brown Patent. In the Brown Patent, terpolymer A in this Test oil, additives P1, P6 and P8 as characterized in Table 1 of the subject application (containing 65% active in kerosene) were compared with the additive position to say that this Dodiflow-V-4159 contained approximately 16 mol-% vinyl purposes in the following examples a component P'B" which was a very similar acetate and approximately 1.2 mol-% vinyl ester of neodecanoic acid. A similar The Brown Patent discloses that in addition to the "A" component, an additive low aromatics. Here Dodiflow-V-4159 was used as comparative additive P"A" Clariant, as the legal successor of Hoechst AG in this technical field, is in the is said to be Dodiflow-V-4159, which was sold commercially by Hoechst AG. acetate content of 13.7 wt.-% (equivalent to 4.9 mol-%) copolymer (P"B").

CFPP effectiveness in Test oil 5

			F - CCL	2007
Example No.	Additive	Endd oos	ninda one	and co
62	Ē.	-18 °C	-20 °C	೦, ಡ-
63	94	-19 °C	-21 °C	-24 °C
94	P8	-19 °C	-22 °C	-25 °C
65 (comp.)	P*A" + 2% P*B"	-18 °C	-18 °C	၁ 6۱-
86 (comp.)	P"A" + 5% P"B"	-18 °C	-20 °C	-21 °C

To test the solubility of the copolymers (terpolymers) according to the Invention in comparison to the additive mixtures of the Brown Patent, 500 ml of Test oil 5 were

Attomey's Docket: <u>20020E444</u>
Ordia No.: 10735480
Ordia No.: 10735480
Art Unit 1715/2001

admixed at 25°C with 500 ppm of the additive, respectively to provide an additized oil sample. All of the additive mixtures to be tested were admixed at 25 C, and all additives were a 65% active concentration in kerosene. The solubility test was performed according to the description in the subject application. The results of the solubility determination are shown in the following table which presents data representing the filterability of the Test Oll/Additive mixture. Filterability is determined by the time required to filter the additized test oil sample. Filter times in excess of 120 seconds are considered unacceptable.

Filterability of Additized Test Oil 5

Example No.	Additive	oil temperature	Time [sec]	Volume [ml]
87 (comp.)	Bron	25 °C	55	500
89	Ā	J. 52	83	200
69	9d	2,52	59	200
20	PB	25°C	90	500
71 (comp.)	P14 + 2% P*B"	25 °C	>120	арргох. 450
72 (comp.)	P14 + 5% P'B"	25 °C	>120	approx. 300

These experiments clearly show that the copolymers of the Invantion containing structural units derived from vinyl acetate and units derived from a vinyl ester of a tertiary branched carboxylic acid in the specified molar ranges have excellent solubility in middle distillates having low suffur and aromatics content, even at low blending temperatures. In contrast to the additives of the subject invention, the additive combinations of the Brown Patent resulted in filter blockages (i.e., they have unacceptable filtration times >120 sec). Especially at higher contents of component B, which are necessary for an improved CFPP performance, the additive conditions of the Brown Patent are not fully soluble under cold blending conditions. The lack of solubility at cold blending conditions potentially will result in undesired filter blockages. Furthermore, the copolymers, or terpolymers of the subject invention show an improved CFPP performance over Brown. The terpolymers of the instant invention provide an economic advantage in the

Art Unit 17 Response to Office Action. Dated 11/13/20

adjustment to the CFPP of the fuel oils with lower dosage rates than are required by the additive mixtures of Brown for the same fuel oil.

invention on the Cold Filter Plugging Point (CFPP) of blodlesel mixtures based on 85 characterization shown hereinabove) also containing varying amounts of rape seed methyl ester, 19.7 % linolic acid methyl ester, 8.9% linoleic acid methyl ester, 4.6 % flow properties of biofuel oils, or mixtures of fatty acid methyl esters with mineral oil methyl ester. The rape seed methyl ester used comprised about 62.2% olelc acid The copolymers of the invention are equally suitable for improving the cold % of Test oil 5 and varying amounts (5, 15, and 25 %) of rape seed methyl ester. components. The following table shows the impact of additives of the instant painitic acid methyl ester and 1.5 % stearic acid methyl ester as the main middle distillate fuel. This is shown by CFPP measurements in Test oil 5

CFPP Effectiveness in mixtures of Test oil 5 with Varying Amounts of Rape Seed (Aethyl Ester (RME)

							ſ
Example no.	test of 5	RME	addithe	200 ppm	400 ppm	700 ppm	
73	85%	15 %	7	J. 91-	-19 °C	-21 °C	
74	82%	15%	P6	-15 °C	J. 07-	၁ ဆ	
75	85%	15%	P8	-17 °C	. ೨. ೧೭-	೦, %-	
76	% 99	5%	9d	-17°C	-21 °C	-24 °C	
77	75 %	25 %	82	-14 °C	J. 41-	-21 °C	
							٦

Usually the addition of fatty acid methyl esters improves the solubility characteristics of mineral diesel blends towards cold flow additives. However, in mixtures of mineral upon cold blending conditions resulted in fuels having poor filterability. In contrast, the terpolymers of the instant application under the same blending conditions give fuels of superior filterability for fuels having from 5 to 25 % fatty acid methyl esters diesel fuel with fatty acid methyl esters, the additive mixtures of Brown especially as shown below (test conditions equal to those described above). Attorney's Docket 2002DE444 0erfal No.: 10/735,490 Art Unit

Response to Office Action. Dated 11/15/2007

2008/FEB/12/TUE 11:00 AM

Filterability of additized mixtures of test oil 5 with Varying Amounts of Rape Seed

nv/ Ester (RME)

MBLINI ESTAT (NINE)						
Example No.	test oil 5	RME	Additive	Oil temperature	Тme [sec]	Volume [mi]
78 (сотр.)	85%	15 %	none	. D. \$3	48	009
78	85 %	15 %	<u>e</u> .	β. Ω.	96	00 <u>\$</u>
88	85 %	16%	98	ည်း	ន	900
84	85 %	15 %	84	β.¢		05e
82 (comp.)	85%	15 %	P14 + 2% P'8"	ာ့ K I	>120	врргож 470
83 (сотр.)	85 %	15%	P14 + 5% P'B"	λί	>120	арргож 340
84	82 %	5%	94	2 8 °C	3 2	200
85	75%	25 %	96	25 td	47	200
86 (camp.)	75 %	26 %	P14 + 5% P*B"	λ 2	>120	арргох 380

Thus, by requiring component B of Brown, as shown herein above for mineral oil middle distillate fuel oils as claimed by Applicant, and equally for mineral oil fuel oil mixtures with from 5 to 25 wt-% fatty acid alkyl esters, the Brown Patent teaches away from Applicant's invention, and no one skilled in the art would arrive at Applicant's invention armed only with the disclosure of the Brown patent.

The Murakami Patent discloses a gas oll obtained by subjecting paraffin' containing crude oil to atmospheric distillation and hydrogenation. The examiner alleges that the claimed percentage of paraffins as Murakami exemplifies wherein the sulfur content is less than 350 ppm and the aromatics content is less than 22 suggests the combination of the Brown and the Murakami reference to provide Applicant's claimed very low sulfur fuel properties to Brown. However, as shown herein above by way of the falled filterability tests for mineral oil middle distillate fuels, Brown teaches away from Applicant's invention. No combination of Brown and Murakami would provide anyone skilled in the art to arrive at Applicant's fuel oil having Applicant's copolymer. Furthermore, Murakami is silent on any additive being a copolymer as claimed by Applicant. In addition, Murakami is silent on any fuel oil having from 5 to 25 % by weight of a mixture of fatty acid alkyl esters, and In fact Murakami (See column 1, lines 21 to 38) specifically teaches away from any combination of mineral oil and a fatty acid ester (See Column 1, line 32) which

Attorney's Docket 2012DE444

Denial Not. 19735-481

Art Unit 1214

Response to Office Action. Dated, 11/13/2000

Murakami equates with anti-wearing agents (See column 1-lines 35-38). Therefore, the rejection of dalm 1 as amended under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US Patent No. 5,906,663) in view of Murakami (US Patent No. 5,730,762) should be withdrawn for the reason that Brown teaches away from Applicant's Invention, or is at best silent on any fuel oil which has the limitations of Applicant's Invention, or is at best silent on any fuel oil which has the limitations of Applicant's fuel oil, and no one skilled in the art would be able to combine the Murakami reference, which does not disclose Applicant's copolymer, with the Brown patent to arrive at Applicant's Invention, and for the reason that Applicant unexpectedly discovered that the presence of Brown's additive having Brown's required component B consistently fails filterability tests in low sulfur, low aromatic fuel oils as daimed by the Applicant.

The rejection of claims 2-14, 16-17, 19-30 as amended under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US Patent No. 5,906,663) in view of Murakami (US Patent No. 5,730,762) should be withdrawn for the reasons given in support of claim 1 from which they depend. Claim 19 recites a method for improving the cold flow behavior of a fuel oil having the properties recited in amended claim 1 and should be allowable for the reasons given in support of amended claim 1. Claims 20-30 depend from amended claim 19 and should be allowable for the reasons given in support of claim 1 and 19.

New claim 31 recites a fuel oil comprising a proportion of mineral oll middle distillate and from 5 to 25 % by weight of a mixture of fatty acid alkyl esters. Claim 31 should be allowable over Brown for the reasons given hereinabove and Applicant's showing that for fuel oils comprising mineral oll middle distillate and from 5 to 25 wr-% fatty acid methyl ester, the addition of the required Brown component B resulted in falled filterability tests, and for the reason that Murakami teaches away from the presence of any anti-wear agents (column 1, lines 21 to 38) which anyone skilled in the art would recognize as fatty acid esters. Murakami points to problems associated with high price and poor storage stability. New claims 32-34 depend from new claim 31 and should be allowable for the reasons discussed hereinabove in connection with claim 1 and claim 31.

CENTRAL FAX CENTER RECEIVED

Art Unit Response to Office Action, Dated 11/15

for an allowance of all pending claims. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and an under 35 U.S.C. §103 should be withdrawn and that this application is in a condition It is respectfully submitted that, in view of the above remarks, the rejections allowance of all pending claims are courteously solicited.

The Office is authorized to charge the large entity fee of \$150.00 to Deposit Account 03-2060 for the 3 new dependent claims added by this amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 36,277, Agent for Applicants

Customer No. 25,255

PAGE 18/24 * RCVD AT 2/12/2008 10:25:20 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/44 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:704 331 7707 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-58